STEPWISE CANONICAL DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS FOR MORPHOMETRIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THREE STRAINS OF BROILER CHICKEN

U. C. ISAAC*, N. J. OKAFOR, B. C. NWACHUKWU, J. C. ALBERT, C.F. ANIEMENA, C. A. IGBOKWE

Department of Animal Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

Isaac U. C., N. J. Okafor, B. C. Nwachukwu, J. C. Albert, C.F. Aniemena, C. A. Igbokwe (2024). *Stepwise canonical discriminant analysis for morphometric characterization of three strains of broiler chicken.* - Genetika, Vol 56, No.1, 43-54.

Stepwise canonical discriminant analysis of quantitative traits was performed to characterize Arbor Acre (n = 29), Marshall (n = 29) and Ross 308 (n = 30) strains of broiler chicken at two weeks of age. Average body weight of 322.11 ± 51.33 g, $310.70 \pm$ 51.20 g and 310.24 ± 42.37 g were obtained for Arbor Acre, Marshall and Ross 308 strains, respectively. Correlation coefficients between traits was positive (0.13-0.80). Out of the eight traits used, shank length (SL), body length (BL), wing length (WL), breast girth (BG) and thigh circumference (TC) were selected by stepwise procedure as discriminating variables with respective tolerance of 0.75, 0.84, 0.86, 0.57 and 0.66. The Mahalanobis distance indicated that Arbor Acre and Marshall were closely related by SL (2.006), WL (5.704), BG (6.002) and TC (6.314) while Arbor Acre and Ross 308 were related by BL (4.212). Two canonical discriminant functions were generated. Function 1, with smaller Wilk's Lambda (0.15), stronger canonical correlation coefficient (0.88), highly significant Chi-square (p<0.0001), greater eigenvalue (3.14) and percentage variance (87.28%), possessed higher discriminatory power than function 2.72.4%, 82.8% and 90.0% of the cross validated cases were correctly classified as Arbor Acre, Marshall and Ross broilers, respectively. The study revealed that Ross 308 was genetically distinct from Arbor Acre and Marshall, which were more closely related, using shank length, body length, wing length, breast girth and thigh circumference as discriminating traits. Stepwise canonical discriminant analysis is therefore relevant in classification genetics.

Key words: Broilers, quantitative traits, genetic relationship, classification

Corresponding author: U.C. Isaac, Department of Animal Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Nnamdi Azikiwe, University, Awka, Nigeria, Phone: +2348064368510. E-mail: <u>ugwumbaisaac@gmail.com</u>. ORCID: 0000-0002-7729-4516

N. J. Okafor ORCID: 0009-0008-5117-7005, B. C. Nwachukwu ORCID: 0009-0008-5138-0572, J. C. Albert ORCID: 0009-0002-8364-8576, C.F. Aniemena ORCID: 0009-0006-3023-2146, C. A. Igbokwe ORCID: 009-0003-3319-1843

INTRODUCTION

Poultry meat is the most consumed worldwide (SHAHBANDEH, 2023). The global population is projected to be about 9 billion by 2050, implying that an increase in income among the poor populace will lead to an unprecedented increase in animal protein demand. In this context, the poultry industry has an important role to play in sustainable food supply, and should be given prior consideration in improvement studies. Quantitative traits are good indicators of growth and market value of broilers (ISAAC *et al.*, 2023). They serve as basis for characterization, classification, selection and improvement of poultry breeds (AJAYI *et al.* 2012; N'DRI *et al.* 2016).

Discriminant analysis is an approach for analyzing performance data of broiler chickens. BARBOSA (2005) reported that the techniques of discriminant analysis have been successfully employed as a means of identifying developing genotypes and better utilize the advantages provided by heterosis. Canonical discriminant analysis is a multivariate technique that describes the relationship between two or more variables through linear combinations that are maximally correlated (OGAH, 2013). The goal of discriminant analysis is to elucidate how variation among groups is maximized and variation within group is minimized along a gradient, thus enhancing the knowledge of the genetic relatedness and diversity in poultry (AZIZ and AL-HUR, 2013; ZAITOUN *et al.*, 2005). The use of stepwise approach in multivariate discriminant analysis is essential for reduction of interdependency among a set of traits that are correlated (ISAAC and ADEOLU, 2023). Multivariate discriminant analysis of morphometric traits has been successfully used to estimate genetic variation within and between local breeds (KADURUMBA *et al.*, 2014; ZAITOUN *et al.*, 2005). ABDELQADER *et al.* (2010) reported that body weight, body length, heart girth and height at hip showed the largest discriminatory power between three Jordanian chicken genotypes.

YAKUBU *et al.* (2010) used discriminant analysis to correctly classify West African Dwarf and Red Sokoto goat populations of Nigeria into their source population. In today's poultry industry and breeding companies, there exist many strains of broilers that have compromised their genetic integrity, purity and performance. There is need to discriminate today's broiler strains into distinct genetic groups and at the same time group related strains together based on their common attributes. This will foster conservation of genetic resources and improvement. The objectives of the study were to: (i) evaluate the growth performance of Arbor Acre, Marshall and Ross 308 strains based on their quantitative traits, (ii) determine the best traits for discriminating among the three strains, (iii) correctly classify the broilers into different strains and (iv) determine the genetic relatedness or otherwise among the strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental location

The experiment was carried out at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Animal Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria. The University is located within the tropical rainforest zone of southeastern part of Nigeria on Latitude 6°24' N, Longitude 7°25' E and altitude 75 to 77 m. This area has average daily rainfall of 1.037 mm, annual rainfall of 1600 mm and minimum and maximum Procurement and management of experimental birds

Three commercial strains of day-old broiler chicks of Ross 308, Arbor Acre and Marshall were purchased from two renowned commercial farms in Nigeria, through their distributors and were transported at the cool hour of the night to minimize stress on the animals. The day-old chicks were a total of 90 in number, comprising 30 each of Arbor Acre, Marshall and Ross 308 strains.

The chicks were managed intensively in a deep litter, open sided, dwarf walled house, measuring 4.2 m x 2.2 m x 0.7 m. The chicks were fed commercial starter feed containing 22% crude protein and 2,900 kcal metabolizable energy/kg of feed. Feed and water were offered to the chickens *ad libitum*.

Data collection and measurements of traits

Data were taken at week two on individual broiler chicken on body weight (BW), body length (BL), Wing length (WL), wing span (WS), shank length (SL), breast girth (BG), keel length (KL) and thigh circumference (TC). The measurements of traits were described according to ISAAC *et al.* (2022).

Statistical analysis

Stepwise canonical discriminant analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS STATISTICS (2011) Computer Software. The general model of the linear discriminant function was given in expression (1).

 $Y = a + l_1 x_1 + l_2 x_2 + ... + l_p$... (1) where.

Y = Discriminant z score of discriminant function

a = Intercept

l = Discriminant coefficient for a quantitative trait

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Any}$ quantitative trait

The analysis provided the following statistics among others.

Tolerance

Tolerance is the proportion of the variance of a variable not accounted for by other independent variables in the equation (SPSS, 2006). It is used to determine how much the variables (traits) are linearly related to one another. In other words, it determines the level of multicollinearity existing among the observed traits. High tolerance indicates that a variable or trait is quite independent of other variables and contributes much information to a model (SPSS, 2006).

Tolerance was computed using expression (2)

 $1-R^2$... (2)

where R^2 is the coefficient of determination, which is the percentage contribution of a particular quantitative trait to the total variation in performance (ISAAC and ADEOLU, 2023). R^2 was computed as given by YAKUBU *et al.* (2022).

Mahalanobis distance

This was calculated as a squared distance between any two strains in terms of a given trait studied. Mahalanobis distance was calculated according to MAHALANOBIS (1936) as specified in expression (3).

 $D^2 = (x_1 - x_2) \operatorname{Cov}^1 (x_1 - x_2) \dots (3)$ where,

 D^2 = Mahalanobis distance (squared)

 X_1 and X_2 = means of any trait of strain 1 and 2 respectively

 Cov^1 = inverse of covariance of a trait in any two strains. Covariance was computed according to the formula given by ISAAC (2020).

Eigenvalue (variance ratio)

It was obtained as the ratio of the distance between strain sum of squares (SPSS, 2006).

Wilks' Lambda

It was computed as the proportion of the total variance in the discriminant scores not explained by differences among the groups (strains). The value ranges between 0 and 1. Values close to 0 indicate that group means are different.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Means (± *standard deviation*)

Means and standard deviation of the quantitative traits of the three strains of broiler chickens are presented in Table 1. Arbor Acre recorded higher body weight $(322.11 \pm 51.33 \text{ g})$ and body length $(19.26 \pm 1.07 \text{ cm})$ than Marshall $(310.51 \pm 02 \text{ g}; 18.21 \pm 1.13 \text{ cm})$ and Ross 308 $(310.24. \pm 42.37 \text{ g}; 18.21 \pm 1.13 \text{ cm})$ in most other linear body parameters. This result agrees with previous reports (UDEH and OGBU, 2011; SAM and OKON, 2022).

Strain	Trait							
	BW (g)	BL (cm)	KL(cm)	BG(cm)	WL(cm)	WS (cm)	TC (cm)	SL (cm)
Arbor	322.11	19.26	8.02	16.21	10.89	24.21	6.89	3.27
Acre	(51.33)	(1.07)	(0.66)	(1.04)	(0.58)	(1.51)	(0.91)	(0.14)
Marshall	310.70	17.76	7.89	16.60	10.52	24.45	6.84	3.44
	(51.02)	(0.90)	(0.66)	(1.27)	(0.58)	(1.08)	(0.43)	(0.09)
Ross 308	310.24	18.21	7.68	16.18	11.24	25.18	7.15	3.05
	(42.37)	(1.13)	(0.81)	(0.86)	(0.67)	(0.86)	(0.45)	(0.11)

Table 1. Mean (standard deviation) quantitative traits of the three broiler strains

BW = Body weight, BL = Body length, KL = keel length, BG = Body girth, WL = Wing length WS = Wing span, TC = Thigh circumference, SL = Shank length

Correlation of traits pooled within strains

The correlation coefficients presented in Table 2 are pooled from the three strains as obtained from the discriminant analysis. Individual strain results are therefore, not presented. The traits all had positive correlation with each other. However, the strongest association

observed was between body weight and body girth (r = 0.80). This implies that body weight can greatly be improved by indirect selection of strains with larger breast girth. The result collaborates with the findings of OJEDAPO *et al.* (2012), and has informed the use of breast width as an important estimator of body weight and selection criterion for meat type birds (FAYEYE *et al.*, 2014; ISAAC, 2020; ISAAC and OBIKE, 2020). Other traits with high correlation with body weight were thigh circumference (r = 0.66), wing span (r = 0.58) and shank length (r = 0.52). These traits can be used in a selection index for improvement of high body weight on broilers (SADICK *et al.*, 2020). In addition, thigh circumference, wing span, body girth and wing length correlated positively among themselves. Improvement of any of these traits can positively affect others through correlated response.

Table 2. Correlation between traits pooled within strains

	BW	BL	KL	BG	WL	WS	TC	SL
BW	1.00							
BL	0.43	1.00						
KL	0.41	0.30	1.00					
BG	0.80	0.40	0.33	1.00				
WL	0.43	0.18	0.16	0.29	1.00			
WS	0.58	0.21	0.27	0.52	0.53	1.00		
TC	0.66	0.23	0.38	0.55	0.26	0.47	1.00	
SL	0.52	0.17	0.13	0.42	0.31	0.33	0.39	1.00

BW = Body weight, BL = Body length, KL = keel length, BG = Body girth, WL = Wing length WS = Wing span, TC = Thigh circumference, SL = Shank length

Mahalanobis distance between strains

Stepwise discriminant analysis revealed the traits that maximized the Mahalanobis distance (Table 3). From the analysis, Arbor Acre and Marshall were more closely related in shank length (2.006), wing length (5.704), body girth (6.002) and thigh circumstance (6.314) while Arbor Acre and Ross 308 were closely related only in body length (4.212). This suggests more genetic similarity or relatedness between Arbor Acre and Marshall than between Ross 308. From the result, there seems to exist a common genetic base or origin for Arbor Acre and Marshall broiler chickens. It further underscores the usefulness of Mahalanobis distance for establishing genetic similarity or difference between breeds or strains (AZIZ and AL-HUR, 2013). LI *et al.* (2019) also noted that Mahalanobis distance is applied in data mining such as clustering and classification. Shank length which minimizes the distance between Arbor Acre and Marshall may serve as a reliable morphometric trait for classifying strains into same group among different sub-populations. This finding is supported by the research carried out by MUSHI *et al.* (2020) on Tanzanian free-range local chickens.

	aiscrii	ninant anaiy:	SIS				
Step	Trait	MDS	Between	Exact F statistics	df1	df2	Sig.
1	SL	2.006	Arbor Acre and Marshall	29.096	1	85.00	6.12 x10 ⁻⁷
2	BL	4.212	Arbor Acre and Ross 308	30.691	2	84.00	9.87 x10 ⁻¹¹
3	WL	5.704	Arbor Acre and Marshall	26.919	3	83.00	2.95 x10 ⁻¹²
4	BG	6.002	Arbor Acre and Marshall	20.988	4	82.00	6.09 x10 ⁻¹²
5	TC	6.314	Arbor Acre and Marshall	17.448	5	81.00	1.09 x10 ⁻¹¹

Table 3. Traits that maximize the Mahalanobis distance between two closest strains by stepwise discriminant analysis

MDS = Minimum Distance squared

BW = Body weight, BL = Body length, KL = keel length, BG = Body girth, WL = Wing length WS = Wing span, TC = Thigh circumference, SL = Shank length, F = Fisher, df1, df2 = degrees of freedom 1 and 2, respectively, sig. = Significance

The best discriminating traits

Stepwise discriminant analysis selected five out of the eight traits as the best discriminating traits and they are shank length (SL), body length (BL), wing length (WL), breast girth (BG) and thigh circumference (TC). These traits were used as discriminatory variables for the strains studied. Their tolerance values are presented in Table 4. The result underscores the importance of stepwise in multivariate discriminant analysis. In essence, it helps to exclude unwanted variables that are capable of increasing multicolinearity among a set of independent variables. Multicolinearity or interdependency reduces the accuracy of analysis and may lead to wrong inference on variables which did not contribute much to the overall variation in the performance of animals (KIM, 2019; ISAAC *et al.*, 2022).

Table 4. Traits selected by stepwise discriminant analysis for classifying strains into closely related groups

Ste	р	Tolerance	F to Remove	MDS	Between Groups
1	SL	1.00	83.44		
2	SL	0.97	86.11	0.19	Marshall and Ross 308
	BL	0.97	17.51	2.01	Arbor Acre and Marshall
3	SL	0.89	91.86	1.41	Marshall and Ross 308
	BL	0.95	15.77	3.21	Arbor Acre and Marshall
	WL	0.89	11.95	4.21	Arbor Acre and Ross 308
4	SL	0.78	82.18	1.65	Arbor Acre and Ross 308
	BL	0.84	19.64	3.21	Arbor Acre and Marshall
	WL	0.87	9.98	5.10	Arbor Acre and Marshall
	BG	0.69	4.62	5.70	Arbor Acre and Marshall
5	SL	0.75	84.60	1.86	Arbor Acre and Ross 308
	BL	0.84	19.40	3.53	Arbor Acre and Marshall
	WL	0.86	7.69	5.50	Arbor Acre and Marshall
	BG	0.57	2.77	5.78	Arbor Acre and Marshall
_	TC	0.66	3.92	6.00	Arbor Acre and Marshall

MDS = Minimum Distance squared, BW = Body weight, BL = Body length, KL = keel length, BG = Body girth, WL = Wing length WS = Wing span, TC = Thigh circumference, SL = Shank length, F = Fisher

The best discriminating traits (body length, breast girth and shank length) in the present study have earlier been confirmed (ABDELQADER *et al.*, 2008). In a related study, AJAYI *et al.* (2012) also reported that breast girth, keel length, shank length and wing length were among some of the discriminating variables used in classifying chickens into distinct populations. However, OGAH (2013) reported body weight, thigh length and body width as the most discriminant variables for discriminating between normal feathered, frizzled and naked neck chickens. Differences in size and method of discriminant analysis employed by this author could account for this difference.

The high tolerance value (1.00) of shank length in step 1 (Table 4) indicated that it contributed the highest discriminatory power and variability independent of other traits. This explains the reason shank length has been recommended for phenotypic characterization of indigenous chickens in a previous study (MAHARANI *et al.*, 2021).

Canonical discriminant functions

Function 1 in Table 5 indicated that the strains were genetically different since its Wilks' Lambda (0.15) was closer to zero and smaller than 0.6 of function 2. This was highly significant (p<0001) as evidenced by Chi-square (Bartlett's) test. The significance of Wilks' Lambda validated the discriminant analysis carried out. This is similar to what OGAH *et al.* (2011) reported.

T 11 C	C	C		1.	• •	<i>c</i>	1 .
Tables	Nummary	of can	onical	discr	iminant	tunction	analysis
rabic 5.	Summery	of cun	onicai	unser	<i>intercente</i>	junction	unun yous

		%	of	Canonical	Test of	Wilks'	Chi-		
Function	Eigenvalue	Variance		Correlation	Function(s)	Lambda	square	df	Sig.
1	3.41	87.28		0.88	1 through 2	0.15	156.62	10	0.000
2	0.50	12.72		0.58	2	0.67	33.48	4	0.000

F = Fisher, Sig. = Significance

The provision of functions 1 and 2 in the summary of the canonical discriminant function analysis is actually due to three strains used, as the number of functions is usually one less than the number of discriminating groups. This agrees with the findings of OGAH (2013). Function 1 had better discriminatory power judging from its higher eigenvalue or variance ratio (3.14) and percentage variance (87.28%) compared to function 2 with lower eigenvalue (0.50) and percentage variance (12.72%) variance. This result also concurs with the research conducted by ARIZA *et al.* (2022) who reported that lower values of Wilks' lambda indicated a batter discriminatory power.

Functions at group centroid

The functions at group centroid are presented in Table 6. The centroid was considered as the group means of the predictor variables or morphometric traits of chickens (cases) in each strain. Chickens with scores near to a centroid are regarded as belonging to one group (OGBOGO, 2019). Group centroids are therefore important statistics for accurate classification of individuals.

Strain	Fun	ction
	1	2
Arbor Acre	0.17	0.99
Marshall	2.17	-0.54
Ross 308	-2.26	-0.43

Table 6. Functions at group centroid

The positive signs for Arbor Acre and Marshal and the negative for Ross 308 in Function 1 indicated that Arbor Acre and Marshal are in one direction and opposite to Ross 308, suggesting that Arbor Acre and Marshall were closely related but differed greatly from Ross 308 based on the morphometric traits measured. Arbor Acre and Marshall can therefore be classified into the same genetic group. The magnitude of the values in function 1 indicated that the mean morphometric traits of Marshall broilers (2.17) was higher than that of Arbor Acre (0.17).

Classification function coefficients

The coefficients of the function are shown in the Table 7. From the table, the best reduced discriminant models for discriminating among the strains are as follows.

Arbor Acre: D = -528.16+13.71BL-1.51BG+14.26WL-5.61TC+213.26SL

Marshall: D = -540.47+11.95BL- 0.60BG+12.67WL- 6.69TC+229.45SL

Ross 308: D = - 473.09+12.47BL-0.84BG+16.20WL-3.85TC+189.03SL

The coefficients indicated the contributions of each morphometric trait to the discriminant function (equation). Shank length, which had the highest contribution in each strain, is regarded as the best trait for strain separation or discrimination.

Table 7. Classification function coefficients

	Strain			
Trait	Arbor Acre	Marshall	Ross 308	
BL	13.71	11.95	12.47	
BG	-1.51	-0.60	-0.84	
WL	14.26	12.67	16.20	
TC	-5.61	-6.69	-3.85	
SL	213.26	229.45	189.03	
(Constant)	-528.16	-540.47	-473.09	

Classification results

The classification results (Table 8) revealed that 23 (79.3%), 25 (86.2%) and 27 (90.0%) out of 29, 29 and 30 original cases were correctly classified as Arbor Acre, Marshall and Ross 308 strains respectively. This means that out of the original cases counted, a total of 6 Arbor Acre were wrongly classified, 3 (10.3%) each as Marshall and Ross 308, 3 (10.3%) Marshall and 1 (3.4%) Marshall were wrongly classified as Arbor Acre and Ross 308, respectively, 2 (6.7%) Ross 308 and 1 (3.3%) Ross 308 were wrongly classified as Arbor Acre and Marshall strains respectively. However, cross validated cases, which provide more honest presentation of the

discriminant function, indicated that 21 (72.4%), 24 (82.8%) and 27 (90.0%) cases were correctly classified as Arbor Acre, Marshall and Ross 308 strains.

				Predicted group n	_		
		Strain		Arbor Acre	Marshall	Ross	Total
						308	
Cases	Original	Count	Arbor Acre	23	3	3	29
Selected			Marshall	3	25	1	29
			Ross 308	2	1	27	30
		Percentage	Arbor Acre	79.3	10.3	10.3	100
			Marshall	10.3	86.2	3.4	100
			Ross 308	6.7	3.3	90.0	100
	Cross	Count	Arbor Acre	21	4	4	29
	validated		Marshall	4	24	1	29
			Ross 308	2	1	27	30
		Percentage	Arbor Acre	72.4	13.8	13.8	100
			Marshall	13.8	82.8	3.4	100
			Ross 308	6.7	3.3	90.0	100
Cases not	Original	Count	Arbor Acre	6	59	0	65
selected			Marshall	0	74	1	75
			Ross 308	1	83	0	84
		Percentage	Arbor Acre	9.2	90.8	0.0	100
			Marshall	0.0	98.7	1.3	100
			Ross 308	1.2	98.8	0.0	100

	Table 8.	Classification	results
--	----------	----------------	---------

Ross 308 individuals, thus had the greatest predictive accuracy compared to the other two trains. Ross 308 broiler chickens were more unique in their quantitative characters than Arbor Acre and Marshall strains. The implication is that Ross broilers can easily be identified in a mixed population and classified with high fidelity based on their morphometric traits. Classification result is an essential tool for discriminating animals with doubtful origin or in mixed population. This is important for effective management and conservation (KADURUMBA *et al.*, 2014). Discriminant function classification has been used to characterize West African Dwarf and Red Sokoto goats in Nigeria (YAKUBU *et al.*, 2010) and village goat production systems in South Africa (MDLADLA *et al.*, 2017).

CONCLUSION

Discriminant analysis is suitable for evaluation of broiler chicken performance. The use of discriminant analysis in evaluating quantitative traits among the three broiler strains has helped in understanding their genetic relatedness and diversity. Of the eight quantitative traits used, five stood out as the best discriminatory traits which are body length, shank length, wing length, breast girth and thigh circumference. Arbor Acre and Marshall strains had more traits in common and were closely related while Ross 308 strain was quite different from the former two. This

result suggests that Arbor Acre and Marshall may have evolved or originated from a common genetic base.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Department of Animal Science and Technology for providing a conducive environment for this study.

Received, November 05th, 2023 Accepted, April 28th, 2024

REFERENCES

- ABDELQADER, A., C.B.A, WOLLNY, M., GAULY (2008): On-farm investigation of local chicken biodiversity and performance potentials in rural areas of Jordan. Animal Genetic Research Information, 43: 49-58.
- AJAYI, O.O., M.A., ADELEKE, M.T., SANNI, A., YAKUBU, S.O., PETERS, I.G., IMUMORIN, M.O., OZOJE, C.O.N., IKEOBI, O.A., ADEBAMBO (2012): Application of principal component and discriminant analyses to morpho-structural indices of indigenous and exotic chickens raised under intensive management system. Trop*ical* Animal Health and Production, 44 (6): 1247–1254.
- ARIZA, A.G., A.A., AARBULU, F.J.N., GONZÁLEZ, J.M.B., JURADO, J.V.D., BERMEJO, M.E.C., VALLEJO (2022): Data miningbased discriminant analysis as a tool for the study of egg quality in nature hen breeds. Scientific Reports, 12: 15873.
- AZIZ, M.M.A., F.S., AL-HUR (2013): Differentiation between Saudi goat types using size-free canonical discriminant analysis. Emir Journal of Food Agriculture, 25:723-735.
- BARBOSA, L. (2005): Genetic divergence among five lines of laying hens. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia, 56: 642-646.
- FAYEYE, T.R., K.K., HAGAN, A.R., OBADARE (2014): Morphometric traits and correlation between body weight and body size traits in Isa Brown and Ilorin Ecotype chickens. Iran Journal of Applied Animal Science, 4: 609-614.
- IBM SPSS STATISTICS (2011): International Business Machine Statistical Package for Social Sciences. IBM Corp Release 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20.0 Armonk. NY. USA.
- ISAAC, U.C. (2020): Phenotypic, genetic and environmental correlations between body weight and linear body traits of chicken genotypes. Agrobiological Records, *4*: 32-43.
- ISAAC, U.C., M.O., OBIKE (2020): Phenotypic correlations between body weight and egg production traits of local chicken genotypes in humid tropical rainforest of Umudike. International Journal of Agriculture and Biosciences, 9:134-140.
- ISAAC, U.C., C.A., IGBOKWE, N.J., OKAFOR, C.F., ANIEMENA, J.C., ALBERT, B.C., NWACHUKWU (2022): Repeatability of Growth traits in three strains of broiler chicken, Malaysian Animal Husbandry Journal, 2: 29-33.
- ISAAC, U.C., A.A., ADEOLU (2023): Linear models for predicting body weight in crossbred chickens. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Applied Science, 8: 90-102.
- ISAAC, U.C., O.M., EJIVADE, J., EZEA, R.J., NOSIKE (2023): Allometric growth models for improvement of size and confirmation in crossbred chickens. Acta Scientific Veterinary Sciences, *5:* 78-84.
- KADURUMBA, O.E., S.N., IBE, V.M.O., OKORO, I.P., OGBUEWU, U.C., NWAOGWUGWU (2014): Body weight as a discriminant factor among three breeds of the Nigerian local chickens. Advances in Agriculture, Sciences and Engineering, 4: 1590-1594.
- KIM, J.H. (2019): Multicolinearity and misleading statistical results. Korean Journal of Anesthesiology, 72: 558-569.
- LI, X., S., DENG, L., LI., Y., JIANG (2019): Outlier detection on Robust Manalanobis distance and its application. Open Journal Statistics, 9: 15-26.

- MAHALANOBIS, P.C. (1936): On the generalised distance in statistics. Proceedings of the National Institute of Science of India, 2: 49-55.
- MAHARANI, D., F., MUSTOFA, A.P.Z.N.I., SARI, A., FATHONI, H., SASONGKO, D.N.H., HARIYONO (2021): Phenotypic characterization and principal component of analysis of indigenous chicken breeds in Indonesia. Veterinary World, *14*: 1665-1676.
- MDLADLA, K., E.F., DZOMBA, F.C., MUCHADEYI (2017): Characterization of the village goat production systems in the rural communities of the Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo and North West Provinces of South Africa. Tropical Animal Health and Production, *49*:515-527.
- MMUSHI, J.R., G.H., CHIWANGA, E.M., ANUZU-AWEH, M., WALUGEMBE, M., R.A., MAX, S.J., LAMONT, T.R., KELLY, E.L., MOLLEL, P.L., MSOFFE, J., DEKKERS, J., GALLARDO, H., ZHOU, A.O., MUHAIRWA (2020): Phenotypic variability and population structure analysis of Tanzanian free-range local chickens. BioMed Central (BMC) Veterinary Reseach, *16*: 360.
- N'DRI, A.L., N., FOFANA, J.L., OKON, A.B., ADEPO-GOURENE (2026): Biometric characterization of local chickens "Gallus gallus domesticus" according to the sex and phenotype from traditional breeding of Dabakala (Côte d'Lvoire). International Journal of Environmental and Agricultural Research, 2: 1-6.
- OGBOGO, S. (2019): Discriminant analysis: an analysis of its predictship function. Journal of Education and Practice, *10*: 50-57.
- OGAH, D.M. (2013): Canonical discriminant analysis of morphometric traits in indigenous chicken genotypes. Trakia Journal of Sciences, 11: 170-174.
- OGAH, D.M., O.M., MOMOH, N.I., DIM (2011): Application of canonical discriminant analysis for assessment of genetic variation in Muscovy duck ecotypes in Nigeria. Egyptian Poultry Science, 31: 429-436.
- OJEDAPO, L.O., S.R., AMAO, S.A., AMEEN, T.A., ADEDEJI, T.I., OGUNDIPE, A.O., IGE (2012): Prediction of body weight and other linear body measurements of two commercial layer strain chickens. Asian Journal of Animal Sciences, 6: 13-22.
- SADICK, A.M., G., ARYEE, JNR. P.A., POKU, C.G., KYEREE (2020): Relationship between body weight and linear body measurements in the Cobb broiler chicken. World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences, 4: 1-6.
- SAM, I.M., L.S., OKON (2022): Comparative evaluation of growth performance, morphometric and carcass traits of three strains of broiler chicken raised in the tropics. Animal Research International, *19*: 4617-4624.
- SHAHBANDEH, S. (2023): Meat consumption worldwide 1990-2021, by type. Statistics. <u>http://www.statista.com</u>. Accessed Oct 2023.
- SPSS (2006): Statistical Package for Social Sciences. SPSS for Windows 15.0 evaluation version. SPSS Inc., Chicago, US.
- UDEH, I., C.C., OGBU (2011): Principal Component Analysis of Body Measurements in Three Strains of Broiler Chicken. Science World Journal, 6: 11–14.
- YAKUBU, A., A.E., SALAKO, I.G., IMUMORIN, A.O., IGE, M.O., AKINYEMI (2010): Discriminant analysis of morphometric differentiation in the West African Dwarf and Red Sokoto Goats. South African Journal of Animal Science, 40: 381-387.
- YAKUBU, A., E., EYDURAN, S., CELIK, J.O., ISHAYAN (2022): Use of linear Modeling, Multivariate adaptive regression splines and decision trees in goats. Genetika, 54:1429-1445.
- ZAITOUN, I.S., M.J., TABBAA, S., BDOUR (2005): Differentiation of native goat breeds of Jordan on the basis of morphostructural characteristics. Small Ruminant Research, *56*: 173-182.

STEPWISE KANONIČKA DISKRIMINANTNA ANALIZA ZA MORFOMETRIJSKU KARAKTERIZACIJU TRI SOJA PILIĆA BROJLERA

U. C. ISAAC*, N. J. OKAFOR, B. C. NWACHUKWU, J. C. ALBERT, C.F. ANIEMENA, C. A. IGBOKWE

Katedra za nauku i tehnologiju životinja, Poljoprivredni fakultet, Nnamdi Azikive Univerzitet, Avka, Nigerija

Izvod

Urađena je postepena kanonska diskriminantna analiza kvantitativnih osobina da bi se okarakterisali Arbor Acre (n = 29), Marshall (n = 29) i Ross 308 (n = 30) sojevi brojlera u dobi od dve nedelje. Prosečna telesna težina od $322,11 \pm 51,33$ g, $310,70 \pm 51,20$ g i $310,24 \pm 42,37$ g dobijena je za sojeve Arbor Acre, Marshall i Ross 308, respektivno. Koeficijenti korelacije između osobina su bili pozitivni (0,13-0,80). Od osam korišćenih osobina, dužina trupa (SL), dužina tela (BL), dužina krila (VL), obim grudi (BG) i obim butina (TC) odabrani su postupnom procedurom kao diskriminatorne varijable sa odgovarajućom tolerancijom od 0,75, 0,84, 0,86, 0,57 i 0,66. Mahalanobisovo rastojanje je pokazalo da su Arbor Akre i Maršal blisko povezani sa SL (2.006), VL (5.704), BG (6.002) i TC (6.314), dok su Arbor Akre i Ros 308 povezani sa BL (4.212). Generisane su dve kanonske diskriminantne funkcije. Funkcija 1, sa manjom Vilkovom lambdom (0,15), jačim koeficijentom kanonske korelacije (0,88), visoko značajnim hikvadratom (p<0,0001), većom sopstvenom vrednošću (3,14) i procentualno varijansom (87,28%), posedovala je veću diskriminatornu moć od funkcije 2,722,7. ,4%, 82,8% i 90,0% ukrštenih validiranih slučajeva ispravno je klasifikovano kao brojleri Arbor Acre, Marshall i Ross, respektivno. Studija je otkrila da se Ros 308 genetski razlikovao od Arbor Akre i Maršala, koji su bili bliže povezani, koristeći dužinu trupa, dužinu tela, dužinu krila, obim grudi i obim butina kao diskriminatorne osobine. Postepena kanonska diskriminantna analiza je stoga relevantna u klasifikacionoj genetici.

> Primljeno 05.XI.2023. Odobreno 28. IV 2024.